Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/915,854	MACLELLAN ET AL.	
	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Cynthia Britt	2133	

All Participants:

(1) *Cynthia Britt*.

(2) *Richard M Sharkansky*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *17 November 2004*

Time: *3pm*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph issues relating to claim 5 which were not resolved with the current amendment.

Claims discussed:
Claim 5

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant agreed to cancel claim 5 in order to advance prosecution.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Cynthia Britt
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)